Evaluation form functional programming

# Student

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| Number | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

# Theoretical exam

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Grade | Achieved |
| Sufficient |  |

# Project

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Feature | Implemented | Student can find and explain it |
| Tuples |  |  |
| Records |  |  |
| Discriminated unions |  |  |
| Non-recursive functions |  |  |
| Recursive functions |  |  |

# Assessment (oral)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Exercise | Implemented | Student can explain it |
| Tuples |  |  |
| Records |  |  |
| Discriminated unions |  |  |
| Non-recursive functions |  |  |
| Recursive functions |  |  |

# Result

Instructions: if the theoretical exam is sufficient, then the number of implemented and correctly explained features between project and assessment becomes the grade. Warning: if the number of implemented features of the project is much greater than those implemented during the assessment, then there is a risk of fraud, which will result in further investigation and potentially a complaint to the *examencommissie*.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Final grade | Teacher(s) signature |
|  |  |